In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

In re tl	he application of: Prpic)		
Filed:	September 8, 2000)	Group Art Unit:	2143
For:	Method and Apparatus for Accrediting Event Participants)	Supervisory Patent Examiner:	Jeffrey C. Pwu
Appl.	No.: 09/657,956)		
Applic	cant's Docket: JP920000170US1)		

Summary of Interviews and Correspondence

In an e-mail of **October 30, 2007, 7:27 a.m.**, Attorney England wrote to Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) Pwu, in part, "Yesterday you reviewed a proposed amendment. When I formally filed it last night I noticed for the first time that it says in the first page of the body of your remarks in the 7/30/2007 Office action ("OA") that it is *final*. But the first page of the OA says *nonfinal* ...

SPE Pwu responded with a telephone call to Attorney England. In an e-mail of **October 30, 2007, 9:47 a.m.**, Attorney England summarized the call as follows, "Thanks for your call. You indicated that the action of 7/30/2007 was final, and that the prior 103 rejection was withdrawn in the action of 7/30/2007. Thanks for the clarification."

In a telephone call of **December 18, 2007**, responding to an e-mail earlier that day, SPE Pwu told attorney England that the examiner processed a Notice of Allowance on 11/19/2007, but that it has probably gotten hung up in an internal quality review, since PAIR does not indicate the allowance yet. Supervisor Pwu will check on that and advise. He said that meanwhile

attorney England should record this in an interview summary and assured him that Applicant won't get stuck with an extension of time fee under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony V. S. England Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 35,129

512-477-7165 a@aengland.com